arXiv:0905.1082v1 [math.RA] 7 May 2009

The central polynomials of the finite dimensional unitary and nonunitary Grassmann algebras

C. Bekh-Ochir and S. A. Rankin

May 7, 2009

Abstract

We describe the T-space of central polynomials for both the unitary and the nonunitary finite dimensional Grassmann algebra over a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$ (infinite field in the case of the unitary algebra).

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let k be a field and X a countable set, say $X = \{\, x_i \mid i \geq 1 \,\}$. Then $k_0\langle X\rangle$ denotes the free (nonunitary) associative k-algebra over X, while $k_1\langle X\rangle$ denotes the free unitary associative k-algebra over X.

Let H denote any associative k-algebra. For any $X \subseteq H$, $\langle X\rangle$ shall denote the linear subspace of H spanned by X. Any linear subspace of H that is invariant under every endomorphism of H is called a T-space of H, and if a T-space happens to also be an ideal of H, then it is called a T-ideal of H. For $X \subseteq H$, the smallest T-space containing X shall be denoted by X^S, while the smallest T-ideal of H that contains X shall be denoted by X^T. In this article, we shall deal only with T-spaces and T-ideals of $k_0\langle X\rangle$ and $k_1\langle X\rangle$.

An element $f \in k_0\langle X\rangle$ is called an *identity* of H if f is in the kernel of every homomorphism from $k_0\langle X\rangle$ to H (from $k_1\langle X\rangle$ if H is unitary). The set of all identities of H is a T-ideal of $k_0\langle X\rangle$ (and of $k_1\langle X\rangle$ if H is unitary), denoted by $T(H)$. An element $f \in k_0\langle X\rangle$ is called a *central polynomial* of H if $f \notin T(H)$ and the image of f under any homomorphism from $k_0\langle X\rangle$ ($k_1\langle X\rangle$ if H is unitary) belongs to C_H, the centre of H.

Let G denote the (countably) infinite dimensional unitary Grassmann algebra over k, so there exist $e_i \in G_0$, $i \geq 1$, such that for all i and j, $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$, $e_i^2 = 0$, and $\mathcal{B} = \{\, e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n} \mid n \geq 1, i_1 < i_2 < \cdots i_n \,\}$, together with 1, forms a linear basis for G. The subalgebra of G with linear basis $\mathcal{B}$ is the infinite dimensional nonunitary Grassmann algebra over k, and is denoted by G_0. Then for any positive integer m, the unitary subalgebra of G that is generated by $\{\, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m \,\}$, is denoted by $G(m)$, while the nonunitary subalgebra of G_0 that is generated by the same set is denoted by $G_0(m)$.

Evidently, $T(G(m)) \subseteq T(G_0(m))$. It is well known that $T^{(3)}$, the T-ideal of $k_1\langle X\rangle$ that is generated by $[[x_1,x_2],x_3]$, is contained in $T(G(m))$. For convenience, we shall write $[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ for $[[x_1,x_2],x_3]$.

We shall let $CP(G(m))$ and $CP(G_0(m))$ denote the T-spaces of $k_1\langle X\rangle$ and $k_0\langle X\rangle$ that are generated by the central polynomials of $G(m)$ and $G_0(m)$, respectively. Evidently, $T(G(m)) \subseteq CP(G(m))$, $T(G_0(m)) \subseteq CP(G_0(m))$, and $CP(G(m)) \cap k_0\langle X\rangle \subseteq CP(G_0(m))$.

For a field of characteristic 2 or if $m = 1$, the unitary finite dimensional Grassmann algebra of dimension m (and hence the nonunitary Grassmann algebra of dimension m) is commutative, and thus the T-space of central polynomials for each is $k_0\langle X\rangle$. It is for this reason that we restrict our attention to those fields of characteristic $p \neq 2$ and only consider Grassmann algebras of finite dimension $m \geq 2$.

In this paper, we use techniques developed in [1] to describe the T-space of central polynomials for both the unitary and the nonunitary finite dimensional Grassmann algebra over a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$ (except for the case of the finite dimensional unitary Grassmann algebra over a finite field). As in the infinite dimensional case, the description of the central polynomials relies heavily on having complete knowledge of the T-ideal of identities of the relevant Grassmann algebra, due to A. Giambruno and P. Koshlukov [4] for the case of the unitary finite dimensional Grassmann algebra over an infinite field of characteristic $p > 2$, and to A. Stojanova-Venkova [6] for the case of the nonunitary finite dimensional Grassman algebra over an arbitrary field. It is of interest to note that the same general approach has been used for both the unitary and nonunitary, infinite and finite dimensional Grassman algebras.

We complete this section with a brief description of results from the literature that will be required in the sequel.

Lemma 1.1 ([1], Lemma 1.1)

(i) $[u,vw] = [u,v]w + v[u,w]$ *for all* $u,v,w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(ii) $[u,vw] = [u,v]w + [u,w]v + [v,[u,w]]$ *for all* $u,v,w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(iii) $[u,\prod_{i=1}^n v_i] \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \big([u,v_i] \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^n v_j \big)$ $(mod T^{(3)})$ *for any positive integer* n, *and any* $u,v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(iv) $[u,v][w,x] \equiv -[u,w][v,x]$ $(mod T^{(3)})$ *for all* $u,v,w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(v) $[u,v][u,w] \equiv 0$ $(mod T^{(3)})$ *for all* $u,v,w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(vi) $[u,v]uw \equiv [u,v]wu$ $(mod T^{(3)})$ *for all* $u,v,w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(vii) For any $n \geq 2$, $x_1^n x_2^n \equiv (x_1x_2)^n + \binom{n}{2}[x_1,x_2]x_1^{n-1}x_2^{n-1}$ $(mod T^{(3)})$.

The following lemma summarizes discussion found in Siderov [5], where C is the linear subspace of G_0 spanned by $\{\, \prod_{i=1}^{2n} e_i \mid n \geq 1\,\}$, and H is the linear subspace of G_0 spanned by $\{\, \prod_{i=1}^{2n-1} e_i \mid n \geq 1\,\}$.

Lemma 1.2

(i) $C = C_{G_0}$.

(ii) For $h, u \in H$, $hu = -uh$. *In particular,* $h^2 = 0$ *(since* $p \neq 2$*).*

(iii) Let $g \in G_0$*, so there exist (unique)* $c \in C$ *and* $h \in H$ *such that* $g = c + h$. *For any positive integer* n, $g^n = c^n + nc^{n-1}h$.

(iv) For $g \in G_0$, $g^p = 0$.

(v) Let $c_1, c_2 \in C$ *and* $h_1, h_2 \in H$*, and set* $g_1 = c_1 + h_1$, $g_2 = c_2 + h_2$. *Then for any nonnegative integers* m_1, m_2, $[g_1, g_2]g_1^{m_1}g_2^{m_2} = 2c_1^{m_1}c_2^{m_2}h_1h_2$ *(where* g_i^0 *and* c_i^0 *are understood to mean that the factors* g_i^0 *and* c_i^0 *are omitted).*

(vi) Let $u \in G_0$. *Then* $u^{n+1} = 0$*, where* n *is the number of distinct basic product terms in the expression for* u *as a linear combination of elements of* $\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 1.1 *Let* SS *denote the set of all elements of the form*

(i) $\prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$*, or*

(ii) $\prod_{r=1}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{2r}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$*, or*

(iii) $\left(\prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}\right)\prod_{r=1}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{2r}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$,

where $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots j_{2s}$, $\beta_i \geq 0$ *for all* i, $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t$, $\{ i_1, \ldots, i_r \} \cap \{ j_1, \ldots, j_{2s} \} = \varnothing$*, and* $\alpha_i \geq 1$ *for all* i.

Let $u \in SS$. *If* u *is of the form (i), then the beginning of* u *is* $\prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$*, the end of* u *is empty, the length of the beginning of* u*, lbeg*(u)*, is equal to* t *and the length of the end of* u*, lend*(u)*, is 0. If* u *is of the form (ii), then we say that the beginning of* u *is empty, the end of* u *is* $\prod_{r=1}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{2r}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$*, and lbeg*$(u) = 0$ *and lend*$(u) = s$. *If* u *is of the form (iii), then we say that the beginning of* u *is* $\prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$*, the end of* u *is* $\prod_{r=1}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{2r}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$*, and lbeg*$(u) = t$ *and lend*$(u) = s$.

In [6], Venkova introduced a total order on the set SS which was useful in her work on the identities of the finite dimensional nonunitary Grassmann algebra.

Definition 1.2 (Venkova's ordering) *For* $u, v \in SS$*, we say that* $u > v$ *if one of the following requirements holds.*

(i) $\deg u < \deg v$.

(ii) $\deg u = \deg v$ *but lend*$(u) <$ *lend*(v).

(iii) $\deg u = \deg v$ *and lend*$(u) =$ *lend*(v)*, but there exists* $i \geq 1$ *such that* $\deg_{x_i} u < \deg_{x_i} v$ *and for each* $j < i$, $\deg_{x_j} u = \deg_{x_j} v$.

(iv) $\deg u = \deg v$, *$lend(u) = lend(v)$ and for each $i \geq 1$, $\deg_{x_i} u = \deg_{x_i} v$, and there exists $j \geq 1$ such that x_j appears in the end of u and in the beginning of v, and for each $k < j$, x_k appears in the beginning of u if and only if x_k appears in the beginning of v.*

It will be helpful to note that if $u > v$ by virtue of condition (iv), then there exists $k > j$ such that x_k is in the beginning of u and in the end of v.

2 The central polynomials of the finite dimensional unitary Grassmann algebra over an infinite field of characteristic $p \neq 2$

In this section, k denotes an infinite field of characteristic $p \neq 2$, and for any integer $m \geq 2$, $G(m)$ denotes the subalgebra of G that is generated (as an algebra) by $\{ e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m \}$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Then $C_{G(m)}$ is equal to $C_G \cap G(m)$ if m is even, and to $(C_G \cap G(m)) + \langle \prod_{r=1}^{m} e_r \rangle$ if m is odd.*

Proof. Since $C_G \cap G(m) \subseteq C_{G(m)}$, and $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_m g = g e_1 \cdots e_m$ for all $g \in G(m)$ (both products are 0 for $g \in \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$), we have $(C_G \cap G(m)) + \langle \prod_{r=1}^{m} e_r \rangle \subseteq C_{G(m)}$. Let $g \in C_{G(m)}$. Then there exist $\alpha \in k$, $c \in C \cap G(m)$, and $h \in H \cap G(m)$ such that $g = \alpha + c + h$. For any e_i with $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $g e_i = e_i g$, but $g e_i = \alpha e_i + c e_i + h e_i$, while $e_i g = \alpha e_i + c e_i + e_i h$, so $e_i h = h e_i$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq m$. However, since $h \in H$, we have $e_i h = -h e_i$, and thus $2 e_i h = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Since $p \neq 2$, we have $e_i h = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Since the elements of $\mathcal{B} \cap G(m)$ are linearly independent in $G(m)$, this implies that h is either 0 or else is a scalar multiple of $e_1 e_2 \ldots e_m$ (in which case, m is odd), which proves the result. ■

Definition 2.1 *For any positive integer j, let $h_j = \prod_{r=1}^{j} [x_{2r-1}, x_{2r}]$.*

Lemma 2.2 *Let m be a positive integer, and let $b_m = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1$. Then $T(G(m))$, the T-ideal of identities of the unitary Grassmann algebra $G(m)$, has basis $\{ [x_1, x_2, x_3], h_{b_m} \}$.*

*Proof.*If $p > 2$, this is Corollary 8 of [4], so suppose that $p = 0$. By [3], Proposition 4.3.3, $T(G(m))$ has as basis the set of all proper multilinear identities of $G(m)$; that is, the set of all multilinear products of commutators. Since $T^{(3)} \subseteq T(G(m))$, it suffices to consider only multilinear products of 2-commutators. Let $r = b_m$ and consider h_r. We claim that $h_r \in T(G(m))$. Evidently, if any x_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2r$, is replaced by an element of k, the result is 0. By the multilinearity of h_r, it suffices to consider $h_r(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{2r})$, where $g_i = c_i + h_i$, $c_i \in C$ and $h_i \in H$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2r$. Then by Lemma 1.2 (v), $h_r(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{2r}) = 2^r \prod_{i=1}^{2r} h_i$. Since $2r \geq m + 1$, it follows that $h_r(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{2r}) = 0$. Since

$h_r \in k_0\langle X\rangle$, this establishes that $h_r \in T(G(m))$. On the other hand, for any $n < r$ (so $2n \le m$), $h_n(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{2n}) = 2^n e_1 e_2 \cdots e_{2n} \neq 0$ since $p \neq 2$. Thus $T(G(m)) = \{\, [x_1, x_2, x_3], h_r \,\}^T$. ■

Definition 2.2 *For $u = e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n} \in \mathcal{B}$, let $s(u) = \{\, e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_n} \,\}$, while $s(1) = \varnothing$. Then for any $g \in G$, let $s(g) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m s(g_i)$, where $g = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i g_i$ with $a_i \in k^*$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{B} \cup \{\, 1 \,\}$. We shall refer to $s(u)$ as the* support *of u.*

Lemma 2.3 *Let*

$$u = \prod_{r=1}^t x_r^{\alpha_r} \text{ or } \Big(\prod_{r=1}^t x_r^{\alpha_r}\Big) \prod_{r=1}^s [x_{t+2r-1}, x_{t+2r}] x_{t+2r-1}^{\alpha_{t+2r-1}} x_{t+2r}^{\alpha_{t+2r}},$$

where $t \ge 1$, $s \ge 1$, $\alpha_r \ge 1$ for $1 \le r \le t$, and if u is of the latter type, $\alpha_r \ge 0$ for $t+1 \le r \le t+2s$. Then $u \notin CP(G(m))$ if and only if $2lend(u) \le m-2$ and, if $p > 2$, there exists a with $1 \le a \le t$ and $\alpha_a \not\equiv 0 \ (mod\, p)$.

Proof. Suppose first that $u \notin CP(G(m))$. If $p > 2$, then by [1], Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $CP(G) \subseteq CP(G(m))$, there must exist a with $1 \le a \le t$ and $\alpha_a \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$. If u is of the first type, then $lend(u) = 0$ and since $m - 2 \ge 0$, there is nothing more to show. Thus it suffices to consider only u of the latter type. Since $u \notin CP(G(m))$, there exist $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G(m)$, where $n = t + 2s$, such that $u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \notin C_{G(m)}$. For each $r = 1, \ldots, n$, let $g_r = d_r + h_r$, where $d_r = a_r + c_r$, $a_r \in k$, $c_r \in C_m$, $h_r \in H_m$. Then $u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$ is a linear combination of terms of the form $d_{i_1} d_{i_2} \cdots d_{i_e} h_{i_{e+1}} \cdots h_{i_t} c$ (including the possibility that no d_{i_j}'s appear, which we think of as $e = 0$, or no h_{i_j}'s appear, which we think of as $e = t$), where $\{\, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_t \,\} = \{\, 1, 2, \ldots, t \,\}$, and $c = \prod_{r=1}^s [g_{t+2r-1}, g_{t+2r}] g_{t+2r-1}^{\alpha_{t+2r-1}} g_{t+2r}^{\alpha_{t+2r}}$. It follows from Lemma 1.2 (v) that $c \in C_{G(m)}$. Since $u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \notin C_{G(m)}$, at least one of these terms must not belong to $C_{G(m)}$, and so there exists e such that the support sets of c, $d_{i_1}, \ldots, d_{i_e}, h_{i_{e+1}}, \ldots, h_{i_t}$ are pairwise disjoint, $t - e$ is odd, and, by Lemma 2.1, if m is odd, the union of the supports sets must be properly contained in $\{\, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m \,\}$. Since $|s(d_{i_r})| \ge 0$ and $|s(h_{i_r})| \ge 1$, we have

$$|s(c)| + 1 \le |s(c)| + t - e \le |s(c)| + \sum_{r=1}^e |s(d_{i_r})| + \sum_{r=e+1}^t |s(h_{i_r})| \le \begin{cases} m & m \text{ even} \\ m-1 & m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 1.2 (v), c is a scalar multiple (necessarily nonzero) of a product of terms of the form $c_{j_{2r-1}}^{\alpha_{t+2r-1}} c_{j_{2r}}^{\alpha_{t+2r}} h_{t+2r-1} h_{t+2r}$, so $|s(c)| \ge 2s$. Thus we find that if m is even, then $1 + 2s \le m$, or $2s \le m - 1$, while if m is odd, then $1 + 2s \le m - 1$, or $2s \le m - 2$. For m even, $2s \le m - 1$ is equivalent to $2s \le m - 2$, so these two cases can be consolidated into simply $2s \le m - 2$, as required.

Conversely, we prove that if $2lend(u) \le m - 2$, and, if $p > 2$, there exists a with $1 \le a \le t$ and $\alpha_a \neq 0 \pmod p$, then $u \notin C(G(m))$. In the characteristic zero case, we take $a = 1$. Now set $g_a = 1 + e_a$, and $g_i = 1$ for all i with $1 \le i \le t$, $i \neq a$. If u is of the latter type, set $g_{t+r} = 1 + e_{1+r}$ for r with $1 \le r \le 2s$.

Then the odd part of $u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = (1+\alpha_a e_a)\prod_{r=1}^{1+s} 2e_{1+2r-1}e_{1+2r}$ is equal to $\alpha_a e_a \prod_{r=1}^{1+s} 2e_{1+2r-1}e_{1+2r}$, and this is nonzero since $\alpha_a \neq 0$ and $p \neq 2$. Since the support of $e_a \prod_{r=1}^{1+s} e_{1+2r-1}e_{1+2r}$ has size $2s+1 \leq m-1$, it follows that this element is not in $C_{G(m)}$. Thus $u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \notin C_{G(m)}$, and so $u \notin CP(G(m))$. ∎

Definition 2.3 *For positive integers $t, n, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ with $t \leq n$ and, if $p > 2$, $r_t \not\equiv 0\ (mod p)$, let $M'_{t,n}(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n)$ denote the set of all elements $u \in SS$ with $lbeg(u) > 0$, subject to the requirements that x_t appears in the beginning of u and $\deg_{x_i}(u) = r_i$ for every i.*

Lemma 2.4 ([1], Lemma 3.3) *Let $t, n, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ be positive integers with $t \leq n$ and, if $p > 2$, $r_t \not\equiv 0\ (mod p)$. Then for any $u \in M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$, there exist $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ in $G(z)$, where $z = 2(\deg(u) - lend(u)) - 1$, such that the following hold:*

(i) $u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$ has nonzero odd part in $\langle \prod_{r=1}^{z} e_i \rangle$;

(ii) for any $v \in M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ with $u > v$, $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$;

Corollary 2.1 *For any positive integers $t, n, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ with $t \leq n$ and, if $p > 2$, $r_t \not\equiv 0\ (mod p)$,*

$$\left\langle M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)\right\rangle \cap CP(G(m)) = \left\langle \{\, u \in M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \mid 2lend(u) \geq m-1 \}\right\rangle .$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $\left\langle \{\, u \in M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \mid 2lend(u) \geq m-1 \,\}\right\rangle$ is contained in $\left\langle M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)\right\rangle \cap CP(G(m))$. Let

$$u \in \left\langle M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)\right\rangle \cap CP(G(m)),$$

with $u \neq 0$. Then u is a linear combination of some $u_1 > u_2 > \cdots > u_l \in M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$. Suppose that $u_1 \notin CP(G(m))$. Then by Lemma 2.3, $2lend(u_1) \leq m-2$. But then by Lemma 2.4, there exist $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ in $G(z)$, the subalgebra of G that is generated by $\{\, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_z \,\}$, where $z = 2lend(u)+1 \leq m-1$, such that $u_1(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$ has nonzero odd part in $\langle \prod_{r=1}^{z} e_i \rangle$ and $u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = u_1(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$. By Lemma 2.1, $u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \notin C_{G(m)}$, and this contradicts the fact that $u \in CP(G(m))$. Thus $u_1 \in CP(G(m))$, and then by Lemma 2.3, $2lend(u_1) \geq m-1$. Since all elements of $M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ have the same degree, it follows that $lend(u_i) \geq lend(u_1)$ for every i, and so $2lend(u_i) \geq m-1$ for every i, as required. ∎

Corollary 2.2 *For any positive integers $t, n, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ with $t \leq n$ and, if $p > 2$, $r_t \not\equiv 0\ (mod p)$,*

$$\left\langle M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)\right\rangle \cap CP(G(m)) \subseteq \{\, x_{2b_m-1}h_{b_m-1} \}^S \subseteq CP(G(m)).$$

Proof. It is immediate that each element of

$$\left\langle \{\, u \in M'_{t,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \mid 2lend(u) \geq m-1 \,\}\right\rangle$$

is contained in $\{\, x_{2b_m-1}h_{b_m-1} \,\}^S$, so the first containment follows from Corollary 2.1. That $\{\, x_{2b_m-1}h_{b_m-1} \,\}^S \subseteq CP(G(m))$ follows from Lemma 2.3. ∎

Definition 2.4 *Let* $S_1 = \{\, [x_1, x_2] \,\}^S$ *if* $p = 0$*, otherwise, for each* $n \geq 1$*, let* $w_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}] x_{2k-1}^{p-1} x_{2k}^{p-1}$*, and then set*

$$S_1 = \{\, [x_1, x_2], x_1^p \,\}^S + \{\, x_{2k+1}^p w_k \mid k \geq 1 \,\}^S,$$

and then for any positive integer m*, let* $S_1(m) = S_1 + \{\, x_{2b_m-1} h_{b_m-1} \,\}^S$.

Definition 2.5 *Let* R_1 *be the subspace of* $k_1\langle X\rangle$ *that is spanned by* 1 *and*

$$\{\, u \in SS \mid lbeg(u) > 0, \text{ and, if } p > 2, \text{ for some } x_i \text{ in the beginning of } u,\ \deg_{x_i}(u) \not\equiv 0 \ (mod\, p) \,\}.$$

Lemma 2.5 *For any positive integer* m*,* $k_1\langle X\rangle = R_1 + S_1(m) + T(G_m)$.

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 2.2 and [1], Corollary 3.1. ∎

Theorem 2.1 *For any positive integer* m*,* $CP(G(m)) = S_1(m) + T(G_m)$.

Proof. Let $U_1 = S_1(m) + T(G_m)$. Then Corollary 2.2 and [1], Theorem 3.1, together with the fact that $CP(G) \subseteq CP(G(m))$, imply that $S_1(m) \subseteq CP(G(m))$ and thus $U_1 \subseteq CP(G(m))$. Suppose that $CP(G(m)) - U_1 \neq \varnothing$. Since k is infinite, if every multihomogeneous element of $CP(G(m))$ belonged to U_1, then $CP(G(m)) \subseteq U_1$, so there exists a multihomogeneous $f \in CP(G(m)) - U_1$, say of type $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n)$. By Lemma 2.5, $f \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_i u_i \pmod{U_1}$, where $u_i \in R_1$ for each i. Just as in the proof of [1], Theorem 3.1, we may assume that each u_i is multihomogeneous of the same type as f.

Observe that for every j, $lbeg(u_j) > 0$, and, if $p > 2$, there must exist an index i such that $r_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and x_i is in the beginning of u_j for some j, because otherwise $u_j \in U_1$ for all j and so $f \in U_1$, which is not the case. Let d denote the maximum index such that x_d is in the beginning of u_j for some j, and, if $p > 2$, $r_d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Suppose that for some index j, x_d appears in the end of u_j. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_j = x_{i_1}^{r_{i_1}} x_{i_2}^{r_{i_2}} \cdots x_{i_t}^{r_{i_t}} \prod_{k=1}^{s} \big([x_{j_{2k-1}}, x_{j_{2k}}] x_{j_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{j_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}} \big)$, where $\beta_i = r_{j_i} - 1$ for each i, and $d = j_{2l-1}$ for some l with $1 \leq l \leq s$. By [1], Corollary 2.2 (since $S \subseteq S_1$),

$$\begin{aligned}
u_j &\overset{U_1}{\equiv} r_d^{-1} [x_{j_{2l}}, x_{i_1}^{r_{i_1}} x_{i_2}^{r_{i_2}} \cdots x_{i_t}^{r_{i_t}}] x_d^{r_d} x_{j_{2l}}^{\beta_{2l}} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq l}}^{s} \big([x_{j_{2k-1}}, x_{j_{2k}}] x_{j_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{j_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}} \big) \\
&\overset{U_1}{\equiv} \sum_{a=1}^{t} r_d^{-1} r_{i_a} [x_{j_{2l}}, x_{i_a}] x_{i_a}^{r_{i_a}-1} x_{j_{2l}}^{\beta_{2l}} \big(\prod_{\substack{h=1 \\ h \neq a}}^{t} x_{i_h}^{r_{i_h}} \big) x_d^{r_d} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq l}}^{s} \big([x_{j_{2k-1}}, x_{j_{2k}}] x_{j_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{j_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}} \big). \\
&\overset{T^{(3)}}{\equiv} \sum_{a=1}^{t} r_d^{-1} r_{i_a} \big(\prod_{\substack{h=1 \\ h \neq a}}^{t} x_{i_h}^{r_{i_h}} \big) x_d^{r_d} [x_{j_{2l}}, x_{i_a}] x_{i_a}^{r_{i_a}-1} x_{j_{2l}}^{\beta_{2l}} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq l}}^{s} \big([x_{j_{2k-1}}, x_{j_{2k}}] x_{j_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{j_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}} \big).
\end{aligned}$$

If $p > 2$, then since $[x_k^p, x_d] \equiv p[x_k, x_d]x_k^{p-1} = 0 \pmod{T^{(3)}}$, it follows that $x_d^{r_d}$ can be moved, modulo $T^{(3)}$, to the left of each $x_{i_a}^{r_{i_a}}$ in the beginning of u_j for which $m < i_a$ (by choice of m, $r_{i_a} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if $m < i_a$). Furthermore, by application of Lemma 1.1 (iv) and (vi), the end of each summand may be manipulated modulo $T^{(3)}$ so as to present u_j as a sum of multihomogeneous elements of type $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$, each in $R_1 - U_1$. We may therefore assume that x_d appears in the beginning of each u_j. But then $\sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_i u_i \in M'_{m,n}(r_1, \dots, r_n)$. Since $f \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_i u_i \pmod{U_1}$, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_i u_i \in CP(G(m))$, so by Corollary 2.2, $\sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_i u_i \in \{ x_{2b_m-1} h_{b_m-1} \}^S \subseteq U_1$, and thus $f \in U_1$. This contradicts our choice of f, and so we must have $CP(G(m)) - U_1 = \varnothing$, as required. ∎

Corollary 2.3 *Let $m \geq 2$. If k is a field of characteristic zero, then*

$$CP(G(m)) = \{ [x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4], x_{2b_m-1} h_{b_m-1} \}^S,$$

where $b_m = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1$, and $h_{b_m} = \prod_{r=1}^{b_m} [x_{2r-1}, x_{2r}]$, while if k is an infinite field of characteristic $p > 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} CP(G(m)) = \{ [x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4], x_1^p, x_{2b_m-1} h_{b_m-1} \}^S \\ + \{ x_{2k+1}^p w_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq b_m - 1 \}^S, \end{aligned}$$

where for any $k \geq 1$, $w_k = \prod_{r=1}^{k} [x_{2r-1}, x_{2r}] x_{2r-1}^{p-1} x_{2r}^{p-1}$.

3 The central polynomials of the finite dimensional nonunitary Grassmann algebra over a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$

In this section, k denotes a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$, and for any positive integer m, $G_0(m)$ denotes the subalgebra of G_0 that is generated (as an algebra) by $\{ e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m \}$.

The proof of the following lemma is very similar to that of Lemma 2.1 and will be omitted.

Lemma 3.1 *Let m be a positive integer. Then $C_{G_0(m)}$ is equal to $C_{G_0} \cap G_0(m)$ if m is even, and to $(C_{G_0} \cap G_0(m)) + \langle \prod_{r=1}^{m} e_i \rangle$ if m is odd.*

Let $x_1 \circ x_2 = x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1$, and for any $n \geq 3$, $x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n = (x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_{n-1}) \circ x_n$. We remark that $\circ$ is associative modulo $T^{(3)}$.

Lemma 3.2 ([6], Theorem 1) *For any positive integer m, $T(G(m))$, the T-ideal of identities of the nonunitary Grassmann algebra $G(m)$, is generated as a T-ideal by:*

(i) x_1^2 and $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{m+1}$ if $p = 2$;

(ii) x_1^p, $[x_1,x_2,x_3], x_1\circ x_2\circ\cdots\circ x_{\frac{m}{2}+1}$, *if* $p>2$ *and* m *is even;*

(iii) x_1^p, $[x_1,x_2,x_3], (x_1\circ x_2\circ\cdots\circ x_{\frac{m+1}{2}})x_{\frac{m+3}{2}}, x_{\frac{m+3}{2}}(x_1\circ x_2\circ\cdots\circ x_{\frac{m+1}{2}})$, *and, if* $2p-1$ *divides* $m+1$, $\prod_{r=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2(2p-1)}}[x_{2r-1},x_{2r}]x_{2r-1}^{p-1}x_{2r}^{p-1}$, *if* $p>2$ *and* m *is odd;*

(iv) $[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ *and* $x_1\circ\cdots\circ x_{\frac{m}{2}+1}$ *when* m *is even and* $p=0$, *and by* $[x_1,x_2,x_3]$, $(x_1\circ x_2\circ\cdots\circ x_{\frac{m+1}{2}})x_{\frac{m+3}{2}}$, *and* $x_{\frac{m+3}{2}}(x_1\circ x_2\circ\cdots\circ x_{\frac{m+1}{2}})$ *when* m *is odd and* $p=0$.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2 and the fact that if $f\in k_0\langle X\rangle$ is such that for some variable x that does not appear in f, we have $xf, fx\in T(G_0(m))$, then $f\in CP(G_0(m))$.

Corollary 3.1 *For any odd positive integer* m, $x_1\circ x_2\circ\cdots\circ x_{\frac{m+1}{2}}\in CP(G_0(m))$.

Definition 3.1 *If* $p=0$, *let* $S=\{\,[x_1,x_2]\,\}^S$, *while if* $p>2$, *then for each* $n\geq 1$, *let* $w_n=\prod_{k=1}^{n}[x_{2k-1},x_{2k}]x_{2k-1}^{p-1}x_{2k}^{p-1}$ *and set* $S=\{\,[x_1,x_2]\,\}^S+\{\,w_n\mid n\geq 1\,\}^S$. *Then for each positive integer* m, *let*

$$S(m)=\begin{cases} S+\{\,x_1\circ\cdots\circ x_{\frac{m+1}{2}}\,\}^S & \textit{if } m \textit{ is odd}\\ S & \textit{if } m \textit{ is even,}\end{cases}$$

and let $U(m)=S(m)+T(G_0(m))$.

Our objective is to prove that for each positive integer m, $CP(G_0(m))=U(m)$. It will be convenient to have the following notation.

Definition 3.2 *If* $p=0$, *let* $BSS=SS$, *while if* $p>2$, *let*

$$BSS=\{\,u\in SS\mid \textit{ for any } i, \textit{ if } x_i^\alpha \textit{ is a factor of } u, \textit{ then } \alpha\leq p-1\,\}.$$

Then for any positive integer m, *let*

$$\begin{aligned} BSS(m)=\{\,u\in BSS\mid \deg(u)\leq m,\ &\deg(u)-\mathit{lend}(u)\leq (m+1)/2,\\ &\textit{for any } i, \textit{ if } x_i^\alpha \textit{ is a factor of } u, \textit{ then } \alpha\leq\frac{m+1}{2}\,\}\\ SS'(m)=\{\,u\in BSS(m)\mid \mathit{lend}(u)=0\,\}&\\ SS''(m)=\{\,u\in BSS(m)\mid \mathit{lbeg}(u)\mathit{lend}(u)>0\,\}&. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let $SS(m)=SS'(m)\cup SS''(m)$. *If* $u\in BSS(m)$ *satisfies* $\deg(u)-\mathit{lend}(u)=(m+1)/2$, *then* u *is said to be* extremal, *otherwise* u *is said to be non-extremal.*

Note that if $BSS(m)$ contains an extremal element, necessarily m is odd.

Definition 3.3 *A polynomial $f \in k_1\langle X\rangle$ is said to be essential in its variables if every variable that appears in any monomial of f appears in every monomial of f.*

Lemma 3.3 ([1], Lemma 2.7) *Let V be a T-space in $k_0\langle X\rangle$.*

(i) Let $f \in V$. If f is not essential in its variables, then there exist $f_0, f_1 \in V$ such that $f = f_0 + f_1$ and $M(f_0) < M(f)$, $M(f_1) < M(f)$.

(ii) Let $E_V = \{\, f \in V \mid f \text{ is essential in its variables} \,\}$. Then $V = \langle E_V\rangle$; that is, V is the linear span of E_v.

In [6], Venkova states that she considers only fields of characteristic $p > 2$, but many of her results (with proofs) are valid unchanged for characteristic zero, and others are valid with obvious modifications for the case of characteristic zero. In fact, at the end of her paper, Venkova states that the proof of the characteristic zero results is analagous but simpler to that of the characteristic $p > 2$ case. In particular, with the definition of BSS above in the case of characteristic zero, the following two lemmas from [6] are valid in characteristic zero as well as characteristic $p > 2$.

Lemma 3.4 (Venkova [6], Lemma 6) *Let m be even, and f be essential in $k_0\langle X\rangle$, depending on $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$. Then there exist $u_r \in BSS(m)$ and $a_r \in k$ for $r \in F$, F a finite set of positive integers, such that*

$$f \equiv \sum_{r\in F} \alpha_r u_r \ (mod T(G_0(m))).$$

Lemma 3.5 (Venkova, [6], Lemma 11) *Let m be odd, and f be essential in $k_0\langle X\rangle$, depending on $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$. Then there exist $u_r \in BSS(m)$ and $a_r \in k$ for $r \in F$, F a finite set of positive integers, such that*

$$f \equiv \sum_{r\in F} \alpha_r u_r \ (mod T(G_0(m))),$$

where for each $r \in F$, if u_r is extremal, then $lbeg(u_r) > 0$ and i_1, the index of the first variable in the beginning of u_r, is less than j_r for any variable x_{j_r} that appears in the end of u_r with degree p.

Lemma 3.6 *Let n be a positive integer, and let $J_n = \{\, 1, 2, \ldots, n \,\}$. Then*

$$x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^s 2^{n-1-s} \sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_n \\ |J|=2s}} P_n(J)Q(J) \quad (mod T^{(3)}),$$

where $P_n(J) = 1$ if $2s = n$, otherwise $P_n(J) = \prod_{r=1}^{n-2s} x_{i_r}$ with $J_n - J = \{\, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n-2s} \,\}$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{n-2s}$, and $Q(J) = 1$ if $J = \varnothing$ (that is; $s = 0$), else $Q(J) = \prod_{r=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}]$, with $J = \{\, j_1, \ldots, j_{2s} \,\}$, and $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{2s}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on n, with base case $n = 1$. When $n = 1$, the value of the sum is $P_1(\varnothing) = x_1$, as required. Suppose now that $n \geq 1$ is such that the assertion holds. Then modulo $T^{(3)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n \circ x_{n+1} = 2(x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n)x_{n+1} - [x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n, x_{n+1}] \\
&\equiv 2\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^s 2^{n-1-s}\sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_n \\ |J|=2s}} P_n(J)x_{n+1}Q(J) - \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^s 2^{n-1-s}\sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_n \\ |J|=2s}} [P_n(J), x_{n+1}]Q(J) \\
&\equiv \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^s 2^{(n+1)-1-s}\sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_{n+1} \\ |J|=2s \\ x_{n+1}\notin J}} P_{n+1}(J)Q(J) - \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^s 2^{n-1-s}\sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_{n+1} \\ |J|=2(s+1) \\ x_{n+1}\in J}} P_{n+1}(J)Q(J).
\end{aligned}$$

Now if n is even, then $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$, while if n is odd, then $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and it is not possible to have $J \subseteq J_{n+1}$ with $|J| = n+1$ and $x_{n+1} \notin J$. Thus in the first sum above, we may change the upper summation variable limit from $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ to $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ without changing the value of the sum. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^s 2^{n-1-s}\sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_{n+1} \\ |J|=2(s+1) \\ x_{n+1}\in J}} P_{n+1}(J)Q(J) &= \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor+1}(-1)^s 2^{(n+1)-1-s}\sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_{n+1} \\ |J|=2s \\ x_{n+1}\in J}} P_{n+1}(J)Q(J) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^s 2^{(n+1)-1-s}\sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_{n+1} \\ |J|=2s \\ x_{n+1}\in J}} P_{n+1}(J)Q(J)
\end{aligned}$$

as there is no choice of $J \subseteq J_{n+1}$ with $|J| = 0$ and $x_{n+1} \in J$, and if n is even, there is no $J \subseteq J_{n+1}$ of size $n + 2$, while if n is odd, then $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$. It now follows that modulo $T^{(3)}$, $x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n \circ x_{n+1}$ is congruent to

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^s 2^{(n+1)-1-s}\sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_{n+1} \\ |J|=2s \\ x_{n+1}\notin J}} P_{n+1}(J)Q(J) + \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^s 2^{(n+1)-1-s}\sum_{\substack{J\subseteq J_{n+1} \\ |J|=2s \\ x_{n+1}\in J}} P_{n+1}(J)Q(J),$$

as required. ■

Note that as an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.6, when m is odd, $x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_{\frac{m+1}{2}} \in CP(G_0(m))$ is in fact a central polynomial (that is, in $CP(G_0(m)) - T(G_0(m))$). For if we evaluate by setting $x_i = e_{2i-1}e_{2i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, (m-1)/2$, and $x_{(m+1)/2} = e_m$, the result is $2^{(m-1)/2}e_1 e_2 \cdots e_m \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.7 *Let m be an odd positive integer. Then each extremal element of $BSS(m)$ is congruent modulo $U(m)$ to a linear combination of non-extremal elements of $BSS(m)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.6,

$$x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_{(m+1)/2} \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor\frac{m+1}{4}\rfloor} (-1)^s 2^{(m-1)/2-s} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq J_{(m+1)/2} \\ |J|=2s}} P_{(m+1)/2}(J)Q(J) \pmod{T^{(3)}},$$

and since $x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_{(m+1)/2} \in S(m)$, it follows that

$$P_{(m+1)/2}(\varnothing) \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor\frac{m+1}{4}\rfloor} (-1)^{s+1} 2^{-s} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq J_{(m+1)/2} \\ |J|=2s}} P_{(m+1)/2}(J)Q(J) \pmod{U(m)}.$$

Let $u \in BSS(m)$ be extremal, so $\deg(u) \leq m$ and $\deg(u) - lend(u) = (m+1)/2$, so $0 \leq lend(u) \leq (m-1)/2$. We claim that u is obtainable from $P_{(m+1)/2}(\varnothing) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{(m+1)/2}$ by substitution. If $lend(u) = 0$, this is immediate, so we may suppose that $s = lend(u) > 0$. If $lbeg(u) = 0$, then $u \in S \subseteq U(m)$, and in such a case, there is nothing to show. Thus we may suppose that $t = lbeg(u) > 0$ as well. Let $z = (m+1)/2 - lend(u)$, and for convenience, let $y_i = x_{z+i}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, lend(u)$. Suppose that $u = \prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r} \prod_{r=1}^{s} \big([x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}\big) \equiv \prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r} \prod_{r=1}^{s} \big(x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}\big) \prod_{r=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] \pmod{T^{(3)}}$. Since $z = \deg(u) - 2s$, which is the number of copies of variables $x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_t}, x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_{2s}}$, we may obtain $\prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r} \prod_{r=1}^{s} \big(x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}\big)$ from $x_1 x_2 \cdots, x_z$ by substitution. Then let y_i be replaced by $[x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}]$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, with u as the end result. After substitution in the summation that is congruent to $P_{(m+1)/2}(\varnothing)$ modulo $U(m)$, any summand that has a variable y_i appearing in $Q(J)$ will be $0 \pmod{T^{(3)}}$, so the only terms that survive the substitution are those that have every y_i appearing in $P_{(m+1)/2}(J)$. Thus each surviving summand contains every commutator factor of u, plus those from J. Since $J \neq \varnothing$ for each summand, this means that each summand has greater end length than u. However, since each summand was (before substitution) multilinear of degree $(m+1)/2$, it follows that each summand after summation has the same degree as u, and so no summand is extremal, as required. By application of the various identities in Lemma 1.1, each summand can be converted, modulo $T^{(3)}$, into one or more elements of $BSS(m)$ of the same degree, each with equal or greater end length than that of the summand. The result follows now. ∎

Definition 3.4 *Let t and n be positive integers with $t \leq n$, and define $M_{t,n}$ to be the set of all elements of the form*

$$x_t^{\alpha_t} \prod_{r=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}} \tag{1}$$

or

$$\left(\prod_{r=1}^{l} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}\right) x_t^{\alpha_t} \prod_{r=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}, \tag{2}$$

or, in the case $t = n$ *only, elements of the form*

$$\prod_{r=1}^{n} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r} \tag{3}$$

as well, subject to the following requirements.

(a) $s, l \geq 1$.

(b) $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_l < t$ *for elements of type (3.2) or (3.3).*

(c) $j_1 < \cdots < j_{2s}$.

(d) $\{i_1, \ldots, i_l, t\} \cap \{j_1, \ldots, j_{2s}\} = \varnothing$.

(e) $\{i_1, \ldots, i_l, t\} \cup \{j_1, \ldots, j_{2s}\} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

(f) For every $1 \leq k \leq l$, $1 \leq \alpha_m, \alpha_k \leq \frac{m+1}{2}$, *and, if* $p > 2$, $\alpha_m, \alpha_k \leq p - 1$.

(g) For $1 \leq r \leq 2s$, $0 \leq \beta_r \leq \frac{m+1}{2}$, *and, if* $p > 2$, $\beta_r \leq p - 1$.

Lemma 3.8 *Let* t, n *be positive integers with* $t \leq n$. *Then for any* $u \in M_{t,n}$, *there exist* $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ *in* $G_0(z)$, *where* $z = 2(\deg(u) - lend(u)) - 1$, *such that the following hold:*

(i) $0 \neq u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in \langle \prod_{r=1}^{z} e_i \rangle$;

(ii) for any $v \in M_{t,n}$ *with* $u > v$, $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$;

(iii) if $p > 2$, *then for any* $g \in G_0$, $[g_t, g] g_t^{p-1} = 0$.

Proof. This result follows immediately from Lemma 2.7 of [1], upon noting that $u \in M_{t,n}$ implies that every factor of the form x^r for $x \in X$ has $r < p$, so $r! \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and $r < \frac{m+1}{2}$, so the evaluation of x^r in the lemma is always possible. ■

Theorem 3.1 *For any positive integer* m, $CP(G_0(m)) = U(m)$.

Proof. By [1], Theorem 2.1, $S \subseteq CP(G_0)$, and $CP(G_0) \subseteq CP(G_0(m))$, so $S \subseteq CP(G_0(m))$. If m is even, $S(m) = S$ and thus $S(m) \subseteq CP(G_0(m))$, while if m is odd, then by Corollary 3.1, $S(m) = S + \{ x_1 \circ \cdots \circ x_{\frac{m+1}{2}} \}^S \subseteq CP(G_0(m))$. Thus $U(m) = S(m) + T(G_0(m)) \subseteq CP(G_0(m))$.

For the converse, we note that $CP(G_0(m))$ is a T-space, and thus by Lemma 3.3 (ii), $CP(G_0(m))$ is the linear span of its essential polynomials. It suffices therefore to prove that any essential element of $CP(G_0(m))$ belongs to $U(m)$.

So let $f \in CP(G_0(m))$ be essential in $CP(G_0(m))$, and suppose that $f \notin U(m)$. By Lemma 3.4 if m is even, or by Lemma 3.5 if m is odd, f is congruent modulo $T(G_m)$ to a linear combination $\sum a_i u_i$ of $u_i \in BSS(m)$. By Lemma 3.7, we may assume that no u_i is extremal, so in the latter case, we would have $2\deg(u_i) - 2lend(u_i) \leq m-1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the variables that appear in f are $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ for some positive integer n. We may further assume that for each i, u_i is essential in $k_0\langle X\rangle$ in the variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$. For suppose to the contrary that for some i and j, x_j does not appear in u_i. Let $f_0 = \sum_{x_j \text{ not in } u_r} a_r u_r$ and $f_0 = \sum_{x_j \text{in } u_r} a_r u_r$, so $f \equiv f_0 + f_1 \pmod{T(G_0(m))}$. Since x_j appears in every monomial in f and in f_1, we have $0 \equiv f_{{}_{x_j=0}} = f_0 + (f_{1_{x_j=0}}) = f_0 \pmod{U(m)}$, and so $f \equiv f_1 \pmod{U(m)}$.

Next, we observe that for any i, if $lbeg(u_i) = 0$, then by [1], Corollary 2.1, $u_i \in S + T^{(3)} \subseteq T(G_0(m))$. Thus for each i, $lbeg(u_i) > 0$. Let

$$d = \max\{\, j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and there exists } i \text{ such that } x_j \text{ appears in the beginning of } u_i \,\}.$$

Let $X = \{\, i \mid x_d$ appears in the beginning of $u_i \,\}$, and note that for each $i \in X$, $u_i \in M_{d,n}$. Let $f_d = \sum_{i \in X} a_i u_i$, so that $f_d \in \langle M_{d,n}\rangle$, and let $f_e = \sum_{i \notin X} a_i u_i$, so that $f \equiv f_d + f_e \pmod{U(m)}$.

Suppose that $f_e \in U(m)$. Then $f_d \equiv f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{U(m)}$, and so $f_d \in \langle M_{d,n}\rangle - \{\,0\,\}$. Let $j \in X$ be such that $u_j > u_i$ for all $i \in X$, $i \neq j$. By Lemma 3.8, there exist $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G_0(m)$ such that $f_d(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ is a scalar multiple of $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_z$, where $z = 2\deg(u_j) - 2lend(u_j) - 1 \leq m-1$. Thus $f_d(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \notin C_{G_0(m)}$ and so $f_d \notin CP(G_0(m))$, which means that $f \notin CP(G_0(m))$. Since this is not the case, it follows that $f_e \notin U(m)$. Consider j such that $\alpha_j u_j$ is a summand of f_e. Suppose that $\deg_{x_d} u_j < p$, so there exist $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t < d$, positive integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$, $0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_{2s}$, nonnegative integers $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2s}$ such that $m \in \{\, j_1, \dots, j_{2s} \,\}$, $\beta_d \leq p-2$, and

$$u_j = \prod_{k=1}^{t} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} \prod_{r=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}.$$

We may assume without loss of generality that d is odd, since the argument for the case when d is even can be converted, modulo $T^{(3)}$, to the case where d is odd by a sign change in α_j. Thus $d = 2b-1$ for some b with $1 \leq b \leq s$, and for convenience, let $\beta = \beta_{j_{2b-1}}$. We apply [1], Corollary 2.2, taking $v = \prod_{k=1}^{t} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$

and $u = \prod_{\substack{r=1\\ 2r-1\neq d}}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$, to find that

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &\overset{S+T^{(3)}}{\equiv} (\beta+1)^{-1}[x_{j_{2d}}, v]x_d^{\beta+1}x_{j_{2b}}^{\beta_{2b}}u \\ &\overset{T^{(3)}}{\equiv} (\beta+1)^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{t} \alpha_k [x_{j_{2d}}, x_{i_k}]x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k-1}\Big(\prod_{\substack{l=1\\ l\neq k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\Big)x_d^{\beta+1}x_{j_{2b}}^{\beta_{2b}}u && \text{by Lemma 1.1 (iii) and (vi)} \\ &\overset{T^{(3)}}{\equiv} \sum_{k=1}^{t}(\beta+1)^{-1}\alpha_k\Big(\prod_{\substack{l=1\\ l\neq k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\Big)x_d^{\beta+1}[x_{j_{2b}}, x_{i_k}]x_{j_{2b}}^{\beta_{2b}}x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k-1}u && \text{by Lemma 1.1 (vi)} \end{aligned}$$

Now for each k, $\Big(\prod_{\substack{l=1\\ l\neq k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\Big)x_d^{\beta+1}[x_{j_{2b}}, x_{i_k}]x_{j_{2b}}^{\beta_{2b}}x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k-1}u$ is equal to

$$\Big(\prod_{\substack{l=1\\ l\neq k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\Big)x_d^{\beta+1}[x_{j_{2b}}, x_{i_k}]x_{j_{2b}}^{\beta_{2b}}x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k-1}\prod_{\substack{r=1\\ r\neq b}}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}},$$

and by Lemma 1.1, working modulo $T^{(3)}$, the end of this element can be rearranged so as to give an element of R with beginning $\big(\prod_{\substack{l=1\\ l\neq k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\big)x_d^{\beta+1}$. We have proven now that if $\deg_{x_d} u_j < p$, then u_j is congruent to a linear combination of elements of $M_{d,n}$. It follows that d is such that there exist $f_d \in \langle M_{d,n}\rangle$ and f_e in

$$\big\langle \{\, u \in R \mid \deg_{x_d} u = p \text{ and for every } i,\ i < d \text{ if } x_i \text{ is in the beginning of } u \,\}\big\rangle$$

such that $f \equiv f_d + f_e \pmod{(S + T^{(3)}}$. Suppose now that d is minimal with respect to this property. If $f_d \equiv 0 \pmod{S + T^{(3)}}$, then $f \equiv f_e \pmod{S + T^{(3)}}$, which contradicts our choice of d since f_e is a linear combination of elements of R in whose beginning only elements x_i with $i < d$ appear. Thus $f_d \in \langle M_{d,n}\rangle - \{\, 0 \,\}$, and so by Lemma 3.8, there exist $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G_0(m)$ such that (as above) $f_d(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \notin C_{G_0(m)}$ and for any $g \in G_0(m)$ and any positive integer β, $[g_m, g]g_m^{p-1}g^{\beta} = 0$. Since $f_e = \sum \gamma_i v_i$, where for each i, $v_i \in R$ and $\deg_{x_d} v_i = p$, it follows that in each v_i, x_d appears in a term of the form $[x_d, g]x_d^{p-1}g^{\beta}$, and so $v_i(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$ for each i. Thus $f(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \equiv f_d(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) + 0 \pmod{C_{G_0(m)}}$. But $f_d(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \notin C_{G_0(m)}$, and thus $f \notin CP(G_0(m))$. Since this contradiction follows from our assumption that $f \notin U(m)$, it follows that $f \in U(m)$, as required. ■

We note that by [6] Lemma 9, if $p > 2$, then $w_n \in T(G_0(m))$ for $n \geq \frac{m+1}{2(2p-1)}$. We shall let $r_0 = \frac{m+1}{2(2p-1)}$.

Corollary 3.2 *If k if a field of characteristic zero, then*

$$CP(G_0(m)) = \{\, [x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4], x_1 \circ \cdots \circ x_{b_m}, (x_1 \circ \cdots \circ x_{b_m})x_{b_m+1} \,\}^S$$

where $b_m = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$, while if k is a field of characteristic $p > 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} CP(G_0(m)) = {} & \{\, [x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4], x_1 \circ \cdots \circ x_{b_m}, (x_1 \circ \cdots \circ x_{b_m})x_{b_m+1} \,\}^S \\ & + \{\, x_1^p,\ x_2 x_1^p \,\}^S + \{\, w_k \mid 1 \le k \le r_0 \,\}^S \\ & \quad + \{\, u \mid \textit{if } m \textit{ is odd and } r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \textit{ then } u = x_{2r_0+1} w_{r_0},\ \textit{else } u = 0 \,\}^S \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Chuluundorj Bekh-Ochir and S. A. Rankin, *The central polynomials of the infinite dimensional unitary and nonunitary Grassmann algebras*, preprint.

[2] Chuluundorj Bekh-Ochir and D. M. Riley, *On the Grassmann T-space*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications **7** (2008), no. 3, 319–336.

[3] V.S. Drensky, *Free algebras and PI-algebras.* Graduate course in algebra. Springer-Verlag Singapore, Singapore, 2000.

[4] A. Giambruno and P. Koshlukov, *On the identities of the Grassmann algebras in characteristic* $p > 0$, Isr. J. Math. **122** (2001), 305–316.

[5] Plamen Zh. Chiripov and Plamen N. Siderov, *On bases for identities of some varieties of associative algebras* (Russian), PLISKA Stud. Math. Bulgar, **2** (1981), 103-115.

[6] A. N. Stojanova-Venkova, *Bases of identities of Grassmann algebras*, Serdica 6 (1980), no. 1, 63–72.